UNITED	STATES	DISTRICT	COURT
UNILL	DILLID.		COUNT

	ED STATES DISTR	
EASTERN	District of	MICHIGAN
UNITED STATES OF AMERIC	CA	
V.	ORDE	R OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
KAUBA SIMPSON,	Case	4:06-CR-30484-FL
Defendant In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 the detention of the defendant pending trial in the	is case.	has been held. I conclude that the following facts require
or local offense that would have been a tage of a crime of violence as defined in 18 an offense for which the maximum stage.	federal offense if a circumstance givin	and has been convicted of a federal offense stat g rise to federal jurisdiction had existed - that is
§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-©, or comparable st (2) The offense described in finding (1) was (3) A period of not more than five years has for the offense described in finding (1).	tate or local offenses. s committed while the defendant was of elapsed since the date of conviction rebuttable presumption that no conditions are the defendant was of the defendant with the defendant was a supplied to the defendant with the defendant was of the defendant with the defendant was of the def	or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. In release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. Ition release of the defendant from imprisonment ion or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the dant has not rebutted this presumption.
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the	Alternative Findings (A)	
☐ under 18 U.S.C. § 924©.	sonment of ten years or more is prescr mption established by finding 1 that no or red and the safety of the community. Alternative Findings (B)	
I find that the credible testimony and inform derance of the evidence that detention is appropriate in this matter. The information reside in this district, he lacks a stable place of reside in this district, he lacks a stable place of representation of the record should also reflet that he resisted being arrested by authorities. Ba	rmation presented at the hearing revea esidence. It further appears that he ha has admitted to the use of cocaine as ct that at the time of his arrest, the defe sed upon the foregoing information, I	hes by X clear and convincing evidence a prepon- ls that although the defendant has family members that s a negative employment history. The report of the
The defendant is committed to the custody separate, to the extent practicable, from persons afforded a reasonable opportunity for private committed to the custody separate.	s awaiting or serving sentences or being sultation with defense counsel. On orc corrections facility shall deliver the d	Detention ated representative for confinement in a corrections facility ag held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be ler of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an
Date: October 31, 2006	s/ Wallace	Capel, Jr.
	WALLACE CA	APEL, JR. U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Name and Title of Judge

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. \S 801 *et seq.*); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. \S 951 *et seq.*); or © Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. \S 955a).

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on October 31, 2006, I electronically filed the foregoing paper with the Clerk of the Court using the ECF system which will send such notification of such filing to the following: James C. Mitchell, AUSA, Kenneth R. Sasse, FDO, and I hereby certify that I have mailed by United States Postal Service/hand delivered the paper to the following non-ECF participants: United States Marshal Service, 600 Church St., Flint, MI, 48502, Pretrial Services Officer, 600 Church St., Flint, MI 48502.

s/James P. Peltier
James P. Peltier
Courtroom Deputy Clerk
U.S.District Court
600 Church St.
Flint, MI 48502
810-341-7850
pete_peltier@mied.uscourts.gov